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The striking features of the Arab Uprisings in Tunis & Egypt were their total spontaneity and largely non-violent nature. This explains why social scientists, specialists in Middle East studies and Arab and foreign governments and their intelligence services failed to predict the outbreak and outcome of these revolutions. Libya and Syria present markedly different cases. How has the involvement of foreign powers in the two countries affected their uprisings? In light of these interventions and the devastation they have caused, is it still acceptable to talk of an Arab Spring?
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This paper offers, in an experimental way, a theoretical approach to the connection between Iran's Green Movement, the Arab Spring, and the Occupy Movement. Inspired by phenomenological approach, the concept of suggestion refers to the unintended releasing of possibilities for action beyond the original frames with which action is identified. Once suggestion allows for a specific mode of acting to be taken outside of its original ambit and into a new context, action is translated into the "language" of new contexts and new mandates. Together suggestion and translation allow for the transposition of a specific mode of action into becoming, at least for some time, a universal trend that captures the imagination of the activists. By drawing on the evidence and instances in the Green Movement, the Arab Spring, and the Occupy Movement, it is hoped, we can understand how movements affect each other beyond their actors' intentions.
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Iran’s prodemocracy Green Movement precedes the Arab Spring, but the Islamic Republic seems dominate the political field. This paper examines whether the Movement is capable of materializing Iran’s a century-old quest for democracy? What structural and agential factors contribute to the success or failure of the movement? This paper keeps an equal distance from vulgar voluntarism and structural determinism and provides an operational definition of structure and agency by subdividing each into three levels of analysis. The structural factors are measured by examining the structure of the Iranian state, Iran’s socio-economic uneven development, and the global structure of power. The agential factors are examined in terms of the leadership capability, the organizational arrangements, and the intellectual discourse. The findings suggest that Iran’s democratization is surrounded by a number of domestic and global obstacles: Iran’s oil-centered rentier state; the global realpolitik, which is more concerned about Iran’s nuclear issue and less concerned about democratization; and the weakness of the leadership, well-organized civil society institutions, and an inclusive political discourse of the movement. However, Iran’s future prospect is optimistic due to the following elements: an epistemic shift in Iran’s political culture towards a recognition of non-violence and pluralism; a crisis of legitimacy and factional politics in the state; and a vibrant civil society due to demographic changes. Hence, the Green Movement will most likely succeed to bring a democracy from within.
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My research will evaluate Iran’s 2009 Green Movement under the rubric of social movements. This is a crucial area of enquiry because this movement is the first recent mass mobilization in the Middle East against a repressive and authoritarian regime, having preceded the 2011 ‘Arab Spring’. I will employ a constructionist model to demonstrate how the concept of ‘perceived’ opportunity can facilitate an understanding of collective action in a repressive setting, and to assess its potential impact on Iran’s sociopolitical landscape. In applying the constructionist concept of perceived opportunity to an analysis of the Green Movement and taking into consideration the Middle Eastern, authoritarian setting in which it operates, I would argue that Iran’s Green Movement is best described as ‘a movement of movements’. This means that the Green Movement is dynamic in two primary ways. First, its capacity to function in both an organized and disorganized manner gave it the flexibility to respond effectively to regime efforts to suppress it. Second, groups that were able to mobilize on their own to express specific grievances seized a perceived opportunity to join a larger movement, which created a unique and pluralist form of collective action. In the final analysis, every opportunity for mobilization is what the mass of people chooses to make of it.
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Political power in North Africa has too rarely been articulated in terms of true, participative democracy, from the independence till after the so-called 'Arab Spring.' The colonial continuum, in its economic dimension, is one term of the equation. It also takes a very critical approach to unhinge the postcolonial paradigm from categories that have failed to apply in that one region with three different countries. There must be a reason why the Algerian and Moroccan regimes have remained largely untouched by the popular uprisings. One epistemological argument that will concern us is the question of whether it is still wise to address North Africa's situation in view of bilateral constructs (France, the Middle East, secularism, colonial memory, and so forth) that eschew identity in favor of identifications.
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Stereotypical images of women as inert, docile, and subservient largely inform media representation of women in the Middle East. The Egyptian media’s portrayal of women is no exception. Although Egyptian print media outlets vary between national, oppositional, and partisans, most portray women in a traditional, cultivated role. The docile portrayal of women in media, however, appears less dominant at times of political struggles. Times of struggles have particular effects on women. Under such circumstances, women tend to become more active in the public sphere, traditionally occupied by men. In reviewing the media portrayal of women at times of conflict, many scholars found that media depicted women above and beyond their traditional roles. Yet this transition in media portrayal is critiqued for framing women’s engagement as temporarily, meeting a serious crisis situation where “even” women are needed. Images of women leading and/or participating in violent demonstrations are used to maximize the emotional effect of the contradiction between the traditionally protected woman and the rebellious one. Bearing in mind the biased portrayal of women in Egypt’s media in general, it seems that examining the media framing of women’s engagement in the January 25th Egyptian uprising is of particular importance. It illuminates the extent to which the Egyptian January 25th uprising made a difference in the framing of women in national media print. There are two central research questions in this study. First, in comparison with men, how does uprising-related print media news frame women? And second, how do these images compare to the portrayals of women in Egypt’s print media, prior to the uprising? These questions are examined through analyzing the representation of women in newspapers’ coverage of the uprising. The analysis of two widely circulated national newspapers will be carried out using content and discourse analysis.
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Nermin Allam is a doctoral candidate in the University of Alberta’s department of political science. She holds a Masters degree in International Relations from the University of Alberta and a Bachelor degree in Political Science from Cairo University. Her interests range widely in politics, philosophy and sociology. Her areas of specialization include: Middle Eastern studies, continuous politics and politics of differential mobility. Allam’s latest area of research explores women’s participation in the Arab Spring, their challenge to stereotypical depiction of them as inertness and their instigation of indigenous model of agency.
An unforeseen constellation of liberal, socialist, and Islamist forces championed the revolutionary movement that forced the resignation of Egyptian President Mubarak in February 2011. They ended the thirty-year reign of Hosni Mubarak, but they also represented a new mobilization of youth and social media, thereby altering the political culture. The revolutionary movement also responded to a long history of sectarian baiting undertaken by the former regime by uniting Egyptian Muslims and Christians (Copts). In spite of the best efforts of the former regime to frighten Copts out of participation in the revolution, they participated openly and enthusiastically. In the revolution, they saw the promise of a liberal Egypt for all Egyptians, one in which Copts could take their place as full citizens. The Copts’ initial optimism about the revolution quickly turned to pessimism in its wake. Instability under the armed forces regime caused anxiety among Copts about their future security. To voice their concerns, Copts began to challenge the traditional role of the Coptic Orthodox Church in representing Christian interests. Abortive political movements arose to agitate against ongoing discrimination and vigilantism, only to lead to the dramatic massacre of two dozen Coptic protestors in October 2011. With the death of the Coptic Patriarch Shenouda III in April 2012 and the victory of Muhammad Morsi in the presidential election of June 2012, a new era of uncertainty arose for the Coptic community. The continuing breakdown of order in late 2012, coupled with the swift passage of a constitution over the objections of most liberal and Coptic opposition forces, has eroded the initial optimism with which the Copts greeted the revolution. How will Copts represent their interests in postrevolutionary Egypt? In spite of the resurgent power of the Church under a newly anointed patriarch, the revolutionary experience has emboldened Coptic liberal activism in a way that will persist.

Biography

Dr. Paul Rowe is Associate Professor of Political and International Studies at Trinity Western University (TWU). Prior to his appointment at TWU he taught at the University of Western Ontario and Queen’s University. His areas of expertise include: Middle Eastern Politics; Religion and Politics; Developing World Politics, International Politics; and Christian Minorities in the Middle East. His most recent book, Religion and Global Politics (Oxford University Press Canada), was published in 2012. Dr. Rowe grew up in London, Ontario, and completed degrees at the University of Toronto and Dalhousie University prior to doctoral work in Political Science at McGill University, which he completed in 2003. His doctoral dissertation focused upon the politics of Christian minority communities in Middle Eastern states. He has lived for extended periods in the Middle East and South Asia and continues to study the politics of religious groups in developing countries and at the global level.
Rooted in the ideas of classical European sociological theorists, the secularization thesis dominated the debates on the relationship between religion and sociopolitical development for much of the twentieth century and continues to be reproduced in contemporary discussions. In its various accounts, the thesis advances a particular narrative of European modernity, which regards modern developments to be the product of a progressive rationalization and secularization of public life, as the universal trajectory of social and political change in all human societies. In the literature on modernity and development in the Middle East, however, the universalist assumptions of the secularization thesis were challenged by two culturally essentialist discourses, namely Muslim exceptionalism and Islamism. Both discourses forcefully advanced the idea that Muslim cultures and traditions are inherently incompatible with the modern concepts of human rights, democracy, and secularism. In recent decades, these two discourses have themselves been the subject of radical deconstruction and demystification. The recent rise of the Green Movement and the Arab Spring has once again revealed the inadequacies of the prevailing conceptual frameworks for capturing the dynamics and nuances of the modern processes of change in the Middle East. These developments have also reminded us of the urgency of a conceptual rethinking in analysing the relationship between religion and sociopolitical development in the region. This paper asks if contemporary Islamic social and political thought offers any capacities for contributing to this theoretical rethinking. In particular, it examines the contributions of the neo-Shariati school to the debates on the relationship between religion and sociopolitical development in contemporary Iran. The paper argues that by developing a theory of indigenous modernity through a synthesis of global and local, and universal and particular, the neo-Shariatis contribute to the on-going negotiation of a third way between hegemonic universalism and cultural essentialism.
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Media has played a central role in the last two great socio-political uprisings in the Iranian history: The Islamic Revolution of 1979 and the Green Movement of 2009. This paper explores how the novel and specific use of media by the political opposition contributed to the success or decline of these movements.

The Islamic Revolution and the Green Movement were both unique in using the media of their time to achieve their goals. Whereas media is often portrayed as a neutral conveyor of messages, these cases demonstrate that media shapes the characteristics and outcomes of uprisings. In both uprisings, “big media” was firmly in the hands of the state and large corporations. However, ordinary citizens had access to “small media’ to broadcast their voices.

The different outcomes of these two uprisings can in part be explained by the ability of political opposition to effectively use small media. The paper does not claim that the use of small media in the Islamic Revolution and the Green Movement was the most decisive factor in the success of the first and decline of the second. However, it underlines the significance of small media in the success of social movements. The Islamic Revolution was very effective in leveraging small media, contributing to its overall success. The Green Movement, in contrast, became less effective in using small media in its later stages, leading to its inability to maintain momentum over time.
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